Anuran Makur 01/17/2023

Leave-one-out Approximation of Integrated Squared Error:

1 Approximating means:

Consider independent and identically distributed r.v.s X1, X2, ..., Xn such that X; E {-1, 1} and P(Xi = 1) = p \(\epsilon (0,1) \). Then, we define the expected value of Xi as

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] \triangleq 1. \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) + (-1) \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = P - (1-P) = 2P-1.$$

 $p \approx \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{I}[X_i = i]$ and $1 - p \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}[X_i = -i]$. proportion of Xi's equal to 1

Hence, when n is large,
$$\mathbb{E}[X_{i}] \approx 1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}[X_{i}=1]\right) + (-1) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}[X_{i}=-1]\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(1 \cdot \{no. \text{ of } X_{i}'s = 1\} + (-1) \cdot \{no. \text{ of } X_{i}'s = -1\}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}.$$

This idea can be generalized. Suppose XI,..., Xn EB with probability density function f(x). Then,

$$E[X_i] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i,$$

$$E[g(X_i)] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i),$$

when n is large, for any function g: B -> B.

2 Decomposing Integrated Squared Error: $L(\omega) \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{f}_{m}(x) - f(x))^{2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{m}(x)^{2} dx - 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{m}(x) f(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{2} dx$ approximate this with J(W)

3 Leave-one-out Cross-Validation [Rudemo '82]:

We would usually approximate $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{m}(x) f(x) dx$ as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{m}(x) f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{f}_{m}(X_{i})$$

where X1,..., Xm are samples from f(x). However, fm depends on Xi, which leads to greater bias.

So, we use the alternative approximation

So, we use the alternative approximation
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_m(x) f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{f}_{m,\neg i}(X_i) \qquad \text{lower bias}'' \text{leave-one-out!}$$
 where $\hat{f}_{m,\neg i}$ is the histogram made of samples $X_1, X_2, ..., X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, ..., X_m$, and $\hat{f}_{m,\neg i} \approx \hat{f}_m$ for large m .

Approximation J(w):

Recall that:

Recall that:

$$\frac{\hat{p}_{i}}{\hat{p}_{i}} = \frac{\hat{p}_{i}}{\hat{p}_{i}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{i}}{\hat$$

Hence, we define $J(\omega) \triangleq \frac{Z}{w(m-1)} - \frac{m+1}{w(m-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \hat{\beta}_k^z$ and we have:

$$L(\omega) \approx J(\omega) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{2} dx$$
constant

01/31/2023 4) Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN): For i.i.d. random variables X1,..., Xn with mean [F[X] = u and variance var(X) = 02, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\times_{i}-\mu_{i}\right|\geqslant \epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon>0.$ we have (Intuition: X is close to E[X] when n is large with high probability.) Pf: For any E>O, by Chebyshev's inequality, $\mathbb{P}(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} - \mu_{i} \right| \geqslant \epsilon) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}}$ $\frac{1}{n} = \mathbb{E}[\overline{x}]$ Hence, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_i - \mu| \geqslant \varepsilon) = 0$. 11/2 5) Central Limit Theorem (CLT): Let $\phi(z) \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-t^{2}/2} dt$ be the standard normal CDF. I normal PDF with mean O and variance 1 Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be i.i.d. random variables with mean $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and variance $\text{var}(X) = \sigma^2$. $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\,\sigma}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\mu)\leq x\right)=\Phi(x).$ Then, for all XEB, Intuition: CDF of this mean O and variance I random variable looks like normal CDF when n is large. # # # # tepp fx

3		
]		ANURAN MAKUR
3		Some Probability Results on Induced Distributions Date 02/14/2023 No.
<u> </u>	0	Change-of-Variables Formula: (linear case)
3—		Let X be a continuous random variable with PDF fx and CDF Fx. For any constants
3		$a \neq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, define the continuous random variable $Y = aX + b$. Suppose Y has CDF
3		and PDF fy. Can we compute fy in terms of fx?
3		$F_{x}(y) = P(y \le y) = P(ax + b \le y) = \int P(x \le \frac{y-b}{a}), a>0 = \int F_{x}(\frac{y-b}{a}), a>0$
3		$F_{y}(y) = P(y \le y) = P(a \times + b \le y) = \begin{cases} P(x \le \frac{y-b}{a}), a > 0 \\ P(x \ge \frac{y-b}{a}), a < 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} F_{x}(\frac{y-b}{a}), a > 0 \\ 1 - F_{x}(\frac{y-b}{a}), a < 0 \end{cases}$
1		A
		$ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{R}(\frac{y-b}{a})}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(\frac{y-b}{a})} \frac{\mathbb{R}(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(\frac{y-b}{a})} \frac{\mathbb{R}(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \frac{\mathbb{R}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(y)} \mathbb$
		$\left(\frac{d}{dy}\left(1-f_{x}\left(\frac{y-b}{a}\right)\right), a<0\right)\left[-f_{x}\left(\frac{y-b}{a}\right)\frac{1}{a}, a<0\right]$
		Chain rule
]		For all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_y(y) = \frac{1}{ a } f_x(\frac{y-b}{a})$.
1		
3		
3	2	Convolution: (discrete asse)
3—		Let X and Y be independent discrete random variables taking values in Z with PMFs fx ar
3		fy, respectively. Define the discrete random variable $Z = X + Y$, which has PMF f_z and als
3		takes values in Z. Can we compute fz in terms of fx and fy?
1		$f_z(k) = P(Z=k) = P(X+Y=k) = P(J \in \mathbb{Z}, X=j \text{ and } Y=k-j)$
1		$= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X=j \text{ and } Y=k-j) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X=j) \mathbb{P}(Y=k-j) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{x}(j) f_{y}(k-j)$ Lindependence
1		j=-∞ j=-∞ j=-∞
1		Define the convolution of f_x and f_y as $(f_x \star f_y)(k) \triangleq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_x(j) f_y(k-j)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
1	1	$f_2 = f_x * f_y.$
1		12 1x 1y
1		
1		
1		
1		
4		
1		
1		

	是是是一种的。 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	MORAN MAKUR
	MATRIX CALCULUS & REGRESSION	Date 02/28/2023 No.
0	Gradient:	Professor Page 18 to 18 to 18 to 18 to 18
	For a differentiable function f: Bd > 1	$B, f(x_1,, x_d)$, we define its gradient as
	the vector field $\nabla f: \mathbb{B}^d \to \mathbb{B}^d$ given	
	The verse mend of the x and fa	of call
	$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \nabla f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$	or, a mater our notice you?
	, , , , ,	of (x) ox
		9f (a) 3 (b) 3 (c)
		2f (x) (A) 3
<u>a</u>	Gradient of Quadratic Form:	
2	D.G. () L. C. C/x)TA	France Bd Sun Conductive ACB
	Define the quadratic form T(x) = 2 H	x for any xEBd, given a fixed matrix AEB
	Prop: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\forall f(x) = (A+A^n)x$.	1 Salan San San - SSxxx
	Pt: Observe that $+(x) = [x, \dots x_d]$	
	[[Az]	and an analysis of the state of
	For any $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\partial x_k}$	$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d}x_{i}x_{j}A_{ij}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(x_{k}^{2}A_{kk} + \sum_{i\neq k}x_{i}x_{k}A_{ik}\right)$
		$+\sum_{i\neq k} x_k z_j A_{kj}$
		j# j
	$=2x_{k}$	Akk + \(\sum_{i\neq k} \times_{j\neq k} \)
	- \(\frac{d}{\gamma} \)	(A) = \(\sum_{\alpha} \) \(\alpha \).
	^ (=)	$f_i A_{ik} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j A_{kj}$
	land the control of t	$\left[\frac{1}{x} \right]_{k} + \left[\frac{1}{Ax} \right]_{k}$
	= [(A-	$+A^{T}$ z,
	Gradient of Linear Form:	-d 0 1 1 -d
	Define the linear form f(x) = b x for	any x∈B°, given a fixed vector b∈ B°.
	Prop: Vz &Bd, Vf(x) = b.	(1)
	Pf: For any $k \in \{1,, d\}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$	$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{i}x_{i}\right)=b_{k}$.
	OLL VIL	(i=) /
4		
SEST OF STREET		

	ANURAN MAKUR
	PCGE 20150
A	Normal Equation for Regression: N data samples
4	For any given target values ye B"& feature matrix XEB" (MH), define the
20	mean-squared error (MSE):
	$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{MH}$, $E(\beta) \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \ y - X\beta\ ^2$.
	I regression coefficients
	To minimize E(B) over B, we use the stationarity condition:
	$\nabla E(\beta) = 0$.
	Hence, we have:
	$O = \nabla \left(\frac{1}{N} \ y - x\beta\ ^{2} \right) = \nabla \left(\frac{1}{N} (y - x\beta)^{T} (y - x\beta) \right)$
3/4 30	$= \nabla \left(\frac{1}{N} \left(y^{T} - \mathcal{B}^{T} X^{T} \right) \left(y - X \mathcal{B} \right) \right) = \nabla \left(\frac{1}{N} \left(y^{T} y - 2 (x^{T} y)^{T} \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}^{T} X^{T} X \mathcal{B} \right) \right)$
Way to	$= -\frac{2}{N} x^{T} y + \frac{1}{N} (x^{T} x + x^{T} x) \beta \leftarrow \text{use gradient propositions}$
	△ \\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
*-	
(ish,	
1	March & Jan Car And Car
	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON
	TALL TO THE
	Table 1
	101 + (x/1) =
	[ah] + (a7) = [ah] + [a7] = [ah] + [a
6	[3] + [5] = [3] [3] [4] [5] [5] [5] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6
6.	Told + (+ 1) = definite in the state of the
	AND
£.	John Hotel : Jo
4	The first of the f
A	Istaria :
A	
	Total + Intel
A	
A	

A'ZONE

(standard over of a